

CWP No. 19578 of 2011

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner in person.

\*\*\*\*

The petitioner <sup>inter alia</sup> contends that the instructions dated 18.05.2010 have been issued in flagrant violation of Rule 16.2 of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934 (for brevity 'the Rules'). According to him, instructions dated 18.05.2010 provide that when an enrolled police officer is convicted by trial court on a criminal charge for an offence where the prescribed is punishment more than 3 years then the dismissal should be the norm irrespective of the quantum of the sentence and where it is less than 3 years then the punishment other than the dismissal is to be given. He ~~has~~ further submits that Rule 16.2(2) of the Rules provides that an enrolled officer convicted and sentenced on a criminal charge, shall be dismissed. The proviso deals with the further course of action in case the conviction is set aside on appeal or revision.

Notice of motion for 02.11.2011.

Ms. Rita Kohli, learned Addl. A.G., Punjab who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Four copies of the paper book shall be handed over to her by the petitioner during the course of the day.

Notice re: stay.

In the meanwhile, operation of the instructions dated 18.05.2010 (P-4) shall remain stayed.

We make it clear henceforth that no benefit of the

CWP No. 19578 of 2011

-2-

instructions dated 18.05.2010 shall be extended to any officer who has been convicted on a criminal charge by a criminal court.

To come up along with CWP Nos. 1253 of 2009 on 02.11.2011.

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

October 19, 2011

*Atul*

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

*Att added  
may 18/11  
11/10/11*

*Att  
2/9/2011*

6 A

CWP No.19578 of 2011

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner in person.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Khosla, Sr. Addl. AG, Punjab.

---

Notice of motion was issued on 19.10.2011 and the operation of the instructions dated 18.05.2010 (P-4) was stayed. However, no action by the respondent-State has been initiated for removal of the tainted officers who have been convicted for various offences. The list of such persons have been placed on record (P-2).


Learned State counsel requests for some to file affidavit of the Secretary, Home & Justice. Let the affidavit be filed within two days before the adjourned date with a copy in advance to Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner in person. The officer filing the affidavit shall also keep in view the number of convicts and others whose names have been disclosed in Annexure P-2 of CWP No.1253 of 2009 with Civil Miscellaneous No.2570 of 2009.

List again on 17.11.2011.

A copy of this order be given to learned State counsel under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

  
3/11/11

02.11.2011  
manju

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

CWP Nos. 8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010 and COCP No. 631 of 2010

Present: Ms. Balpreet Kaur Sidhu, Advocate  
for Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner in person  
(in COCP No. 631 of 2010)

Mr. R.S. Khosla, Sr. Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

The instant contempt petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act prays for issuance of contempt proceedings against the Chief Secretary, Government of Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh for deliberately disobeying the directions issued by this Court on 18.11.2008 (P-1) passed in CWP No. 18552 of 2007. According to the directions issued by this Court on 18.11.2008, action against the convicted police officials/ officers were to be initiated and they were to be dismissed from the service on the basis of their convictions because in the reply filed by the State of Punjab before this Court, it was admitted that as many as 150 officials were convicted for various offences. Accordingly, following directions were issued:

"In the circumstances, therefore, we consider it unnecessary to continue these proceedings on the board of this Court. The same can in our opinion be disposed of with a direction to the Chief Secretary, Government of Punjab to periodically

monitor the action against the employees who are convicted for the offences alleged against them and ensure that those who deserve to be weeded out on account of their conviction in accordance with the rules on the subject are dealt with strictly in accordance with the said rules. This would include action that may be called for against the four employees pointed out by Mr. Arora. The writ petition is accordingly disposed off with the above directions and observations leaving the parties to bear their own costs."

In para 6 of the contempt petition, an example has been given that Sh. Bhupinder Singh Khatra, who was then working as DSP (Vigilance) has been continued in service. It has also come on record that the aforementioned officer was convicted for various offences under Sections 193, 201, 466 and 471 IPC by the learned Sessions Judge, Faridkot in his judgment and order dated 30.09.2009. He was also directed to undergo two years' rigorous imprisonment and shorter term sentences under different offences, which were to run concurrently.

An affidavit of Sh. Sameer Kumar, Secretary to Govt. of Punjab has been filed in the Court today. However, against the name of Sh. Bhupinder Singh Khatra, remarks given are that his case was under active consideration of the State Government and his application for pardon is pending before His Excellency the Governor

of Punjab. In appeal, his sentence has been suspended. But that does not mean that his conviction has been affected in any case. Therefore, the respondent-Chief Secretary, Government of Punjab was required to proceed against the aforesaid officer, who is working as DSP and is wearing Police Uniform despite his conviction.

In view of the aforesaid one last opportunity is granted to respondent-Chief Secretary to proceed against Sh. Bhupinder Singh Khatra, DSP in accordance with law and comply with the directions issued by this Court, within a period of one week. The action taken against Sh. Bhupinder Singh Khatra, DSP shall be placed on record within a week

List again on 25.11.2011.


In the meanwhile, Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner may examine the affidavit of Sh. Sameer Kumar, Secretary to Govt. of Punjab filed in the Court today and further assist the Court with regard to officials /Police Officers, who have been put in different categories in the list annexed as R-1 and R-2. According to the categories provided by the respondents in R-1, the first category is of Police Official/ officers who have been dismissed from service; (b) conviction stayed/ suspended/ dismissal stayed; (c) Acquitted; (d) Major punishment awarded; (e) left on probation and (f) under consideration. Likewise, in the list R-2, similar categorization is available, with an additional category of terrorist affected cases. Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner shall bunch these cases with a view to assist the Court for further appropriate action.

As this Court is seized of these matters, we deem it appropriate to issue direction that if any petition is filed by any dismissed officers as per affidavit filed today along with R-1, namely, (1) ASI Massa Singh 1048/SGR, (2) Ct. Jagir Singh 2785/JAL, (3) Ct. Daljeet Singh 2777/JAL, (4) Insp. Baljinder Kumar 127/FR (5) Ct. Pawan Kumar 674/BTI, (6) Ct. Amarjeet Singh 1174/BTI, (7) Ct. Balkaran Singh 974/BTI, (8) Ct. Jang Bahadur 2-C/779, (9) Ct. Baldev Singh 3-C/346, (10) ASI/ORP Malwinder Singh 510/R, (11) Ct. Manjeet Singh 452/R, (12) Ct. Gurcharan Singh 421/R, (13) Insp. Harinderpal Singh, 113/FR, (14) HC Sukhwinder Singh 1527/BTI, (15) HC Jagtar Singh 630/BTI, (16) Ct. Gurbaksh Singh 1515/BTI (now 544/JAL), (17) HC Harmesh Lal 28/FGS (now 138/FGS), (18) Ct. Lilla Khaa No.2563/SGR, (19) Ct. Nirmal Singh 971/ASR, (20) Ct. Gurbaksh Singh 544/JAL (21) SI Sukhjot Singh No.137/FDK; and those named in R-2, namely (22) ASI Gurcharan Singh No.840/FDK, (23) Const. Sukhdev Singh No.76/FZK, (24) ASI Gurdeep Singh No.1131/Jull, (25) Const. Iqbal Singh No.482/Jalandhar, (26) ASI Sanjiv Kumar No.403/KPT, (27) HC Ajaib Singh No.27/69, (28) HC Kuljit Singh No.5-C/115, (29) ASI Sarabjit Sharma No.1961/PAP (H.C. No.2-C/1), (30) HC Lakhwinder Singh No.CTC/16, (31) HC (PR) Jail Singh No.75/1044, (32) HC (PR) Paira Singh No.318/HPR, (33) ASI Davinder Singh No. 209/ GSP, (34) HC Tarsem Singh No.1761/LDH, (35) ASI Gurinder Pal Singh No.423/BR, (36) HC (PR) Joginder Singh No.2474/BTL, (37) HC (PR) Surinder Singh No.125/KHN, (38) HC (PR) Davinder Singh No.286/SGR, (39) HC (PR) Pinderpal Singh

No.1269/SGR, (40) Const. Sarabjit Singh 2227/ASR, (41) Const. Gursahib Singh 139/ASR, (42) Const. Ashwani Kumar No.4-C/509 and (43) Const. (C-II) Vijay Kumar No.504/BTA, the same may also be listed before this Bench as the matter is under consideration. In that regard the Registrar Judicial shall issue instructions to the Computer Section of the Registry after obtaining permission from Hon'ble the Chief Justice.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

A copy of this order be given to learned counsel for the parties under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

  
**(M.M. KUMAR)**  
**JUDGE**

  
**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 17, 2011**  
*Atul*

**PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT**

*Recd  
 S.S. Singh  
 Secy (P)*

*copy Recd  
 Mr. H. C. Anand  
 Registrar  
 18/11/11*

*Recd  
 Mr. P. Bector  
 Sr. Asst. Dir.*

C.W.P Nos.8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010,  
COCP No. 631 of 2010 and CWP No. 19578 of 2011.

Present: Mr.H.C.Arora, petitioner in person  
(in COCP No. 631 of 2010)  
for the petitioner.  
Mr. A.P.S.Shergill, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
Mr. Suvir Sehgal, Addl.A.G.Punjab  
Mr. Anil Rathee, Addl.A.G.Haryana

On the request of learned Addl. A.G. Punjab, hearing is  
deferred to 29.11.2011.

A photo copy of this order be placed on the files of each  
connected case.

  
(M.M.KUMAR)  
JUDGE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RATNA)  
JUDGE

November 25,2011  
nk

CWP Nos. 8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010,  
COCP No. 631 of 2010 and CWP No. 19578 of 2011.

Present : Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Bajaj, Advocate.

Mr.H.C. Arora, petitioner in person  
( in COCP No. 631 of 2010)

Mr. R.S.Khosla, Sr. Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Anil Rathee, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

An order of Hon'ble the Supreme Court dated 25.11.2011,  
which has been received by FAX message, states that the hearing of this  
case be deferred for two weeks. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned to  
14.12.2011.

Be shown in the urgent list.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of each  
connected case.

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
JUDGE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

29.11.2011  
'sp'

**C.W.P. Nos. 8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010,  
COCP No. 631 of 2010 and C.W.P. No. 19578 of 2011**

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate  
for the petitioner

Mr. Vikram Bajaj, Advocate

Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner in person  
(in COCP No. 631 of 2010)

Mr. R.S. Khosla, Sr. Addl. A.G. Punjab

Mr. Anil Rathee, Addl. A.G. Haryana

\*\*\*\*

On 17.11.2011, this Court has recorded in the order that the directions issued on 18.11.2008 (P-1) passed in C.W.P. No. 18552 of 2007 were being violated. The order also incorporated the undertaking of the Addl. Advocate General, Punjab recorded in the order dated 18.11.2008, a period of more than three years have been gone by and on the last date of hearing last opportunity was granted to the respondent – Chief Secretary to proceed against atleast one of the officer and one week time was given. The order of 17.11.2011 was challenged before Hon'ble Supreme Court by filing SLP and on 25.11.2011, the order of the Supreme Court was placed on record which asked this Court to defer the hearing of the case for two weeks. In deference to the aforesaid direction of the Supreme Court, the matter was adjourned to today i.e. 14.12.2011.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S. Khosla, Sr. Addl. A.G. Punjab sought time to seek instructions as to why the directions issued on 18.11.2008 (P-1) were not complied with and how much time would be required. x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

x x Mr. R.S. Khosla after obtaining instructions has produced before us copies of four orders in respect of S/Shri Bhupinder Singh Khatra DSP, Sh. Avinder Bir Singh DSP, Sh. Parminder Singh DSP and Mr. Surinder Singh Chinna DSP. According to those orders, the aforesaid officers have been placed under suspension in pursuance of their

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT



CWP Nos. 8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010, 19578 of 2011  
And COCP No. 631 of 2010.

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner-in-person  
(in CWP No. 19578 of 2011 & COCP No. 631 of 2010)

Ms. Balpreet Kaur, Advocate,  
for Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CWP No. 1253 of 2009.

Mr. J.S. Sidhu, Sr. Addl. AG, Punjab.

In compliance of the order dated 14.12.2011, an affidavit of Shri M.S. Chhina, Assistant Inspector General of Police, Welfare and Litigation, Punjab, has been filed in the Court today, which is taken on record and a copy thereof has been furnished to the opposite side. Along with the affidavit various lists depicting the names of the police officers and the action taken have been attached as Annexures R-5 to R-10. Annexure R-5 contains the names and other particulars in respect of four Deputy Superintendent of Police who have been dismissed in pursuance of their conviction in criminal cases. Another list (R-6) also contains the names of 48 police officials who have been dismissed due to conviction in criminal cases. A list of 29 police officials has also been attached (R-7) whose conviction has either been stayed or suspended by the Appellate/Revisional Court or the officers have been released on probation. Then there is a list of 14 officers who were initially convicted by the Trial Court and the Appellate Court acquitted them and, therefore, they have been retained in service (R-8). List (R-9) contains the names of four police officials who were convicted but have either died or retired. The last list contains the details of 22 police officers, who have been convicted but have been awarded some major punishment by keeping in view Rule

16.2.(2) of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934 and the impugned instructions dated 22.5.2009 and 18.5.2010 (R-10). Accordingly, details have been furnished in respect of 121 police officials.

Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner-in-person and Ms. Balpreet Kaur states that some time would be required to examine various lists and the action taken report by the respondents. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned to 15.2.2012.

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

February 1, 2012  
Pkapoor

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
JUDGE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

C.W.P.Nos.8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010, 19578 of 2011 and  
COCP No. 631 of 2010

\*\*\*

**Present:** Mr.H.C.Arora, Advocate, petitioner-in-person  
(CWP No. 19578 of 2011 and COCP No. 631 of 2010)  
Ms. Balpreet Kaur, Advocate,  
for Mr. APS Shergill, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CWP No. 1253 of 2009

Mr. J.S.Sidhu, Sr. Addl. A.G.Punjab

\*\*\*

Mr. H.C.Arora, has pointed out various cases from the list

(R-7) attached with the affidavit of Shri M.S.Chhina, Assistant Inspector General of Police, Welfare and Litigation, Punjab, Chandiarh, filed on 27.1.2012/31.1.2012 where the convicts were given benefit of Probation of Offenders Act and to the remarks by the department in R-7 that their cases are under active consideration. Mr. Arora states that according to the view taken by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Sushil Kumar Single v. Regional Manager Punjab Nation Bank 2010(4) SCT 241**, a person who is given the benefit of probation would still be regarded as a convict and has to be dealt with by the department as such.

Mr. J.S.Sidhu, learned Sr. Additional Advocate General, Punjab requests for some time to file status in respect of the aforesaid persons.

The needful shall be done within a period of four weeks with a copy in advance to the petitioner in person

List again on 28.3.2012.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

  
(M.M.KUMAR)  
JUDGE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 15, 2012  
PARAMJIT

CWP Nos. 8410 of 2008 (O&M), 1253 of 2009 (O&M),  
25 of 2010 (O&M) & 19578 of 2011; and  
COCP No. 631 of 2010 (O&M)

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Petitioner-in-person  
Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CWP No. 1253 of 2009

Mr. Piyush Kant Jain, Addl. AG, Punjab,  
for the respondent(s).

CM No. 3777 of 2012 in CWP No. 19578 of 2011:

Notice of the application for 17.5.2012.

Mr. Piyush Kant Jain, Addl. AG, Punjab, who is present in  
the Court, accepts notice.

CM No. 2570 of 2009 in CWP No. 1253 of 2009:

Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, learned counsel for the applicant-  
petitioner has submitted that despite details given of various cases  
which are pending at various levels, no status report has been filed  
by the State of Punjab. According to the learned counsel the total  
number of 1398 cases have been identified by the State itself by  
furnishing information under the Right to Information Act but their  
status is yet to be filed before this Court.

Notice of the application for 17.5.2012.

Mr. Piyush Kant Jain, Addl. AG, Punjab, who is present in  
the Court, accepts notice.

The non-applicant-respondents shall file the status of all  
the persons as a number of them are facing serious charges in the  
criminal courts.

CM No. 3811 of 2012 in CWP No. 1253 of 2009:

Notice of the application.

Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, learned counsel for the non-applicant-

WP Nos. 8410 of 2008 (O&M), 1253 of 2009 (O&M),  
25 of 2010 (O&M) & 19578 of 2011; and  
COCP No. 631 of 2010 (O&M)

petitioner accepts notice.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, the application is allowed in terms of the prayer made.

CWP Nos. 8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010 & 19578 of 2011;  
and COCP No. 631 of 2010 (O&M):

In pursuance of the order dated 15.2.2012 passed by this Court, an affidavit of Shri M.S. Chhina, Assistant Inspector General of Police, Welfare and Litigation, Punjab has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in CWP No. 19578 of 2011, giving details of police officers who have been released on probation by Courts (R-11) as well as details of those officers who have earlier been shown as under consideration (R-12). The affidavit is taken on record.

To come up for further consideration on 17.5.2012.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
JUDGE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

March 28, 2012  
Pkapoor

CM No. 3777 of 2012 in  
CWP No. 19578 of 2011

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Petitioner-in-person,  
Ms. Rita Kohli, Addl. AG, Punjab,  
for the non-applicant-respondents.

Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner-in-person, by referring to the table made in para 2 of the application has brought to our notice the cases where conviction of the police officials, who are still in service, has been stayed.

It is also pertinent to notice that in the connected matter, bearing CWP No. 1253 of 2009, details of about 1398 such cases has been placed on record by the petitioner along with CM No. 2570 of 2009.

The office is directed to put up the matter on administrative side i.e. the cases disclosed in CM No. 3777 of 2012 in CWP No. 19578 of 2011.

Accordingly, C.M. No. 3777 of 2012 stands disposed of.

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

May 17, 2012  
P Kapoor

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

12/9  
18/10

CWP Nos. 8410 of 2008 (O&M), 1253 of 2009 (O&M),  
25 of 2010 (O&M) & 19578 of 2011; and  
COCP No. 631 of 2010 (O&M)

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Petitioner-in-person,

Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CWP No. 1253 of 2009


Ms. Rita Kohli, Addl. AG, Punjab,  
for the respondent(s).


Ms. Rita Kohli, learned State counsel requests for a short adjournment to file complete status report including the information with regard to about 1398 cases, which have been highlighted in the lists appended with CM No. 2570 of 2009 in CWP No. 1253 of 2009.

Let the needful be done within one week.

List again on 28.5.2012.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

May 17, 2012  
P Kapoor

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

WP Nos. 8410 of 2008 (O&M), 1253 of 2009 (O&M)  
25 of 2010 (O&M) and 19578 of 2011 and  
COCP No. 631 of 2010 (O&M)

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Petitioner-in-person.

Mr. Rita Kohli, Addl. A.G., Punjab  
for the respondent(s).

\*\*\*\*

CM No. 7451 of 2012 in CWP No. 19578 of 2011

The issue raised in this application is regarding one Sh. Angrej Singh, Constable (Earlier Head Constable No. 96, Barnala). The applicant-petitioner has sought his removal from service and all other similarly situated police officials, who have been reinstated in service by accepting their appeals by the respondents. According to the averments made in the application, Sh. Angrej Singh was dismissed from service vide order dated 30.05.1998 passed by SSP, Barnala. His appeal was dismissed by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patiala range on 09.03.2001. The reasons for his dismissal was that he was convicted on 12.05.2011 under Section 376(2), 466 and 506 IPC in pursuance to an FIR registered at Police Station Kotwali, Barnala. He was ordered to undergo sentence of imprisonment for 10 years along with a fine of Rs. 10,000/-. His conviction was maintained, although in the appeal filed before this Court his request for reduction of sentence to already undergone was accepted. The Inspector General of Police, Zone-I, Punjab, Patiala Punjab while considering the revision filed by Sh. Angrej Singh partially accepted and the punishment of dismissal from service was reduced by forfeiting his past service and by taking him back

into the service at the rank of constable at starting pay.

Accordingly, he was reinstated in service.

Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner-in-person has pointed out that the order of reinstatement would be contrary to the instructions of the State Government and action is required to be taken against him. The prayer made is that the order passed by the Inspector General of Police, Zone-I, Punjab, Patiala on 12.05.2011, after 12 years, has to be set aside.

Notice of the application for 20.07.2012


Ms. Rita Kohli, learned Addl. A.G., Punjab who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents and seeks time to address argument.

Main Case(s)

In response to the order dated 28.03.2012, additional affidavit of Mr. M.S. Chhina, Assistant Inspector General of Police, Welfare and Litigation, Punjab Chandigarh, on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has been filed in the Court, which is taken on record.

List again on 20.07.2012.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

  
(M.M. KUMAR)  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

May 28, 2012  
Atul

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

**CWP Nos. 8410 of 2008, 1253 of 2009, 25 of 2010 and 19578 of 2011 and COCP No. 631 of 2010 (O&M)**

Present: Mr. S.K. Rana, Advocate.

Mr. Hari Pal Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab  
for the respondent(s).

\*\*\*\*

List for arguments on 24.8.2012.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of  
connected cases.

  
**(HEMANT GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**

  
**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)**  
**JUDGE**

20.07.2012

'sp'



N9  
3ca

**PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT**

201  
5

**C.M. No. 7451 of 2012 in  
CWP No. 19578 of 2011 (O&M)**

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner-in-person.

Ms. Rita Kohli, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Mann, Advocate  
for Angrej Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**C.M. No. 7451 of 2012**

Ms. Rita Kohli has filed reply by way of a short affidavit.

The same is taken on record.

As per the affidavit, Constable Angrej Singh has been removed from service.

In view of the said fact Mr. Arora does not press the present application.

Dismissed as having not been pressed.

However, it will be open to Angrej Singh represented by Mr. Mann to avail his remedy available to him against the order passed.

**CWP No. 19578 of 2011**

List on 9.11.2012.

**(HEMANT GUPTA)  
JUDGE**

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE**

**PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT**

24.08.2012

Sl. 17330  
No need to  
19/8/12  
&  
30/8/12

'sp'  
down

30/8/12

CWP No.19578 of 2011

H.C. Arora

Vs.

State of Punjab and others


Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, petitioner-in-person.  
Ms. Rita Kohli, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

The present is a Public Interest Litigation.

List this case as per roster on 10.12.2012.

  
(HEMANT GUPTA)  
JUDGE

  
(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE

9.11.2012  
rajeev  


PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

CWP-19578-2011 (O&M)

..

H.C. Arora

vs.


State of Punjab and others

Present: Mr.H.C. Arora, petitioner-in-person

Ms.Rita Kohli, Addl. A.G., Punjab

..

List on 12.12.2012.

  
(A.K. SIKRI)  
CHIEF JUSTICE

  
(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)  
JUDGE

December 11, 2012  
pc

✓

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

Sr. No. 229

CWP No. 19578 of 2011 (O&M)

H.C.Arora Vs. State of Punjab and others

Present : Mr. H.C.Arora, petitioner in person.  
Ms. Rita Kohli, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*


Arguments heard in part.


For remaining arguments, to come up on on 20.12.2012.

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT

12.12.2012

'ravinder'



  
~~(A.K.SIKRI)~~  
CHIEF JUSTICE

  
(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)  
JUDGE

CWP No. 19578 of 2011 (O&M)

H.C.Arora Vs. State of Punjab and others

Present : Mr. H.C.Arora, petitioner in person.  
Ms. Rita Kohli, Advl. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

Arguments heard.

Judgment reserved.

(A.K.SIKRI)  
CHIEF JUSTICE

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)  
JUDGE

20.12.2012

'ravinder'

PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT